

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

258

**CRM-M-23113-2017  
Date of decision: 07.02.2024**

**ARIF AND ORS.**

....Petitioners

**Versus****STATE OF HARYANA**

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present : Mr. I.S. Saggu, Advocate &  
Mt. T.S. Saggu, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Yuvraj Shandilya, AAG Haryana.

**KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)**

1. Through the instant petition, under Section 482 Cr.P.C, prayer is made for quashing of the FIR No.481 dated 14.09.2016, under Sections 147, 148, 149, 307, 323, 341, 427 and 506 of IPC, and under Sections 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act, 1959 (Annexure P-1), registered at Police Station Punhana, District Mewat.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has raised a number of disputed question of facts, which cannot be adjudicated by this Court, and can only be appreciated during the trial.

3. Learned State counsel at the very outset submits that though the reply was filed way back in the year 2017. However, today he has fresh instructions, imparted to him by the official respondent, that in the instant case, the final report was filed way back on 15.03.2017, and thereupon, the learned trial Court concerned has framed the charges on 13.07.2017, and now, the statement of

prosecution witnesses are being recorded by the learned trial Court concerned.

4. Since the FIR pertains to the year 2016, and recording of witnesses is under way, this Court is not inclined to grant the asked for relief to the petitioners at this stage. However, the petitioners are granted liberty to raise all the pleas, as raised in the instant petition, before the learned trial Court concerned, at an appropriate stage.

5. Accordingly the instant petition is disposed of with the liberty aforesaid.

07.02.2024  
amandeep

(KULDEEP TIWARI)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No