



CWP-6517-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

221

CWP-6517-2024

Date of Decision: 22.11.2024

Nidhi Purohit

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - None for the petitioner

Mr. Ramandeep Singh, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab

Mr. Dinesh Kumar Jangra, Advocate for respondent No.2

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to comply with the provisions of maternity benefit act, 1961 as amended.

2. Short reply filed by respondent No.2 is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

3. Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondent No.2 submit that grievance of the petitioner stands redressed. The amount claimed by the petitioner has already been paid, thus, instant petition has rendered infructuous.

4. In the wake of aforesaid statement, the present petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.
5. The petitioner is at liberty to move an appropriate application if cause survives.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

JUDGE

22.11.2024

Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No