

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-13878-2024
Date of decision: 19.04.2024

Ambrish Jindal and another....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and another....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Ajit Singh Lamba, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Instant petition has been preferred seeking quashing of the FIR (Annexure P-1), and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/settlement dated 08.03.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
27	29.01.2024	66 and 67 of the Information Technology Act 2008 294 and 500 of IPC	Urban Estate, Hisar

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 08.03.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them.

The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners prays that in compliance to the order dated 18.03.2024, the petitioners have deposited the cost of Rs. 20,000/-, so imposed, by this Court. Photocopies of the receipt vide reference No. N080242944608472 dated nil and receipt vide reference No. DUM3989769 dated 20.03.2024 are also enclosed.

4. Learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hisar, vide report dated 01.04.2024 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

5. Respondent No. 2 is represented by her counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

6. In view of the aforesaid report of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hisar, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant-respondent No. 2 has herself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

7. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 27 dated 29.01.2024 under Sections 66 and 67 of the Information Technology Act, 2008 and 294 and 500 of IPC, registered at Police Station Urban Estate, Hisar, (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

19.04.2024
preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |