

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-13879-2024
Date of decision: 19.04.2024

SUDESH DEVI AND OTHERSPetitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHERRespondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Rahi Mehra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Supneet Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 22.02.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
21	15.01.2022	34, 447, 506 and 511 of IPC	Meham, District Rohtak.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed/affidavit dated 22.02.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. A copy of Receipt of costs of Rs. 10,000/- has been placed on record in compliance of the order

dated 18.03.2024.

3. Additional Civil Judge (Sr.Division)-cum-Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Meham, vide report dated 10.04.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

4. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

5. In view of the report of the Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Division)-cum-Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Meham, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 21 dated 15.01.2022, under Sections 34, 447, 506 and 511 of IPC, registered at Police Station Meham, District Rohtak (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

19.04.2024
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |