



CRM-M-2294-2017

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

261

CRM-M-2294-2017

Date of decision : 13.08.2024

Gulshan Kumar and Ors.

..... Petitioners

V/S

State of Punjab and Ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: Mr. S.K.Singla, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Gautam Thapar, AAG, Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI J.

1. Gulshan Kumar, Ashu, Sahil Kumar and Rohit Kumar have filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 6 to protect their life and liberty from private respondent No.7 with further direction that respondents No.1 to 6 may not harass, humiliate petitioners by frequently calling them to the office of respondent No.5 and not to misuse the police machinery for extraneous consideration at the instance of respondent No.7 or any other direction which the Court may deem fit.

2. Learned counsel for petitioners argued that both parties have filed complaints against each other. Respondent No.7 filed false, frivolous complaint against petitioners as a result they are being called time and again. Inquiry was conducted by ADCP and it was concluded that allegations levelled by respondent No.7 were not proved. On the other hand respondent No.7 is also facing trial in FIR No.66 dated 07.05.2014, under Sections 406, 420, 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station

Doraha. On account of this petitioners filed present petition seeking



protection of their life and liberty.

3. In the case in hand, status report dated 18.03.2018 filed by way of affidavit of Sukhchain Singh Gill, IPS, Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana categorically stating that there is no danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners from private respondents. It was explained that petitioners are colonizers and they have property dispute with respondent No.7. Both have filed various complaints against each other. Respondent No.7 had also filed application dated 11.10.2016 which was duly inquired and the same was consigned to the record room. Petitioners were summoned legally to carry on with the inquiry. ASI Dharam Pal, Incharge, P.P. Ramgarh was deputed to assess the threat perception to the petitioners. Petitioner No.1 stated that the matter was compromised with respondent No.7 and they were having no grievance against respondent No.7. Statement of petitioner No.1 is annexed as Annexure R-1/T.

4. Considering the aforesaid factual position, petitioners do not have any threat to their life and liberty as alleged in the petition, since matter has been compromised with respondent No.7. Therefore, in the light of this present petition is rendered infructuous and accordingly disposed of.

5. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

13.08.2024.

Sunil Devi

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No