

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109

CRM-M-14127-2024

Date of decision: 18.03.2024

Mohit Arora

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present:-. Mr. Piyush Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

KIRTI SINGH, J.(Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1973 prayer has been made for issuance of direction to the respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to initiate investigation on the representation dated 17.11.2023 (Annexure P-5) and 22.02.2024 (Annexure P-7) moved before respondents No. 2 and 3 respectively regarding commission of forgery and fabrication of Form GST MOV-6 (Annexure P-3) and Form GST MOV-7 (Annexure P-4) by the respondents No. 4 and 5.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the respondent No. 3 to decide the representation dated 17.11.2023 (Annexure P-5) and 22.02.2024 (Annexure P-7) moved at the instance of petitioner by passing a speaking order expeditiously.

3. Prayer seems to be justified.

4. Upon advance notice, Mr. Kewal Singh, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

5. In view of the aforesaid, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala to look into the representation dated 17.11.2023 (Annexure P-5) and 22.02.2024 (Annexure P-7) and decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 01 month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

18.03.2024

Rajeev(rvs)

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No