

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.1701 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 08.04.2024

Smt. Chander Bala
...Revisionist-Petitioner
Versus
Arun Sharma (since deceased) through LR & another
...Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate, appearing for
Mr. Davinder Singh Dadwal, Advocate,
for the revisionist-petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

By way of the instant revision-petition, the petitioner-Judgment-Debtor No.2 (here-in-after to be referred as ‘Judgment-Debtor No.2’) has assailed the order passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Gurugram (for short ‘the Executing Court’) on 28.11.2023 in *Execution Petition No.197 of 2022* titled as “*Arun Sharma vs. Rishi Raj Chauhan*”, whereby the warrant of possession in respect of the suit property had been issued for 11.12.2023.

2. Today, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner-Judgment-Debtor No.2, as per the instructions from the arguing counsel, apprises the Court that the possession of the suit property has already been taken from Judgment-Debtor No.2 in pursuance of the execution of the afore-referred warrant of possession.

3. In view of the above-discussed submission, it becomes explicit that the revision-petition in hand has been rendered infructuous. Resultantly, the same stands disposed of accordingly.

08.04.2024

seema

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE