

In The High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana  
At Chandigarh

CRM-M-13814-2024 (O&M)  
Date of Decision:- 19.04.2024

Balwan Singh and others ... Petitioner  
  
Versus  
  
State of Haryana and another ... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL**

Present:- Mr. Vipul Babuta, Advocate for  
Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate, for the petitioner.  
  
Mr. Ramender Singh Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.  
  
Mr. Deepak Vashishth, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*\*

**GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)**

1. Petitioners-Balwan Singh, Ashwani and Bimla has approached this Court seeking quashing of FIR No.220, dated 09.10.2021, Police Station Bhattu Kalan, District Fatehabad, under Sections 323, 342, 365, 506, 511 and 34 IPC (later on Section 325 IPC added and Sections 365 and 511 IPC deleted), and all subsequent proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of a compromise having been effected between the parties.
2. Vide order dated 18.03.2024, the parties had been directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate so as to get their statements recorded qua the factum of compromise.

3. Report of learned Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Fatehabad, has been received, wherein it has been reported that the statement of petitioners/accused Balwan Singh, Ashwani, Bimla and complainant Vinod Kumar have been recorded to the effect that they have compromised the matter amongst themselves.
4. Complainant Vinod Kumar in his statement had stated that he has no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed.
5. The learned Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Fatehabad, has specifically opined that the parties have entered into compromise voluntarily without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence.
6. In view of the aforesaid compromise and bearing in mind the law laid down by Full Bench of this Court in 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052 Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.220, dated 09.10.2021, Police Station Bhattu Kalan, District Fatehabad, under Sections 323, 342, 365, 506, 511 and 34 IPC (later on Section 325 IPC added and Sections 365 and 511 IPC deleted) and all subsequent proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

**19.04.2024**

mohan

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking /reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No