



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-13948-2024
Date of decision: 11.07.2024

Rajdeep KaurPetitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and anotherRespondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Yogesh Goel, Advocate;
Mr. Lakshay Goel, Advocate and
Mr. Sahildeep Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C,
the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as
under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
0181	04.12.2023	306, 34 IPC	Model Town, District Ludhiana

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in
compliance to the order dated 20.05.2024, the petitioner has joined the
investigation.



3. During the course of hearing on 20.05.2024, following order has been passed: -

‘It is, inter alia, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has no role to play in the alleged occurrence and has been falsely implicated in this case on the basis of allegations of oral transactions between the deceased and the petitioner as well as the co-accused. He submitted that there is nothing on record that the deceased ever initiated any legal action against the petitioner till his demise. He submits that even the alleged suicide note is shrouded with suspicious circumstances. He submits that the petitioner is ready to join the investigation .

3. *Per contra, learned State counsel referring to the reply submitted by the State and on instructions from ASI Pardeep Verma, P.S. Model Town, Ludhiana, of the case submits that the suicide note was sent for comparison to FSL but report has been received that they required some other standard handwriting of the deceased.*

4. *The learned counsel for the complainant and the learned State counsel submit that the petitioner had been harassing the deceased on account of aforesaid transactions for sale of the farm house, however, they also admit that there is no documentary evidence qua the said transaction available with him.*

5. *List on 11.07.2024.*



6. *In the meanwhile, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of her arrest, she is ordered to be released on interim bail on her furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. She shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.'*

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Baldev Singh, informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 20.05.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 20.05.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; she will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

6. The petition stands allowed.



7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

11.07.2024
preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |