

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.1698 of 2024
Date of Decision:16.04.2024

Onkar Singh
.....Revisionist-Petitioner.

Versus

Jagtar Singh and another
.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Davinder Singh Khurana and
 Mr. Satnam Singh, Advocates
 for the revisionist-petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

By filing the instant revision-petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner-defendant No.2 has assailed the order (Annexure P-5) passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Ambala (for short ‘the trial Court’) on 01.08.2023 in ***Civil Suit No.952 of 2023*** titled as ‘***Jagtar Singh vs.Jagmal Singh and anr***’, whereby the parties had been directed to maintain *status-quo* qua the alienation and possession of the suit property and he has also laid challenge to the judgment (Annexure P-7) as handed down by learned District Judge, Ambala (for short ‘the Appellate Court’) on 10.01.2024, dismissing the ***Civil Misc. Appeal No.90 of 2023***, preferred by him against the order Annexure P-5.

2. However, at the time of assisting the Court, at the preliminary stage, in the present revision-petition, learned counsel for the petitioner

submit that the petitioner has already filed his written-statement and Reply to the application (Annexure P-2) filed by respondent No.1-plaintiff under Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC for seeking *ad-interim* injunction in the afore-referred Civil Suit and the said application is still pending adjudication and they restrict their prayer to the issuance of the direction to the trial Court to decide the above-said application (Annexure P-2) at the earliest possible.

3. Keeping in view the afore-discussed limited prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioner and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the above-mentioned Civil Suit and also of the application Annexure P-2, the revision-petition in hand is, hereby, disposed of with a direction to the concerned the trial Court to decide the application under reference, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

April 16, 2024
YagDutt

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*
Whether Reportable: *No*