

113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

CWP-6506-2024 (O & M)
Date of Decision : 19.03.2024

SANTOSHPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present : Mr. Satender Kumar, Advocate and
Mr. Mayank Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana with
Mr. P.P.Chahar, Sr. DAG, Haryana and
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (Oral)

CM-4794-CWP-2024

1. For the good reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed, and, the learned counsel is granted exemption to file the present case without the number of roll of Advocate.

CWP-6506-2024

2. Since this Court while making a decision upon CWP-13864-2012 titled as '*Sushil Kumar and Others Vs. State of Haryana and Others*' and connected writ petitions, on 19.03.2024 has upheld the vires and the constitutionality of 'The Haryana Dholidar, Butimar, Bhondedar and Muqararidar (Vesting of Proprietary Rights Act), 2010', whereby from the appointed date, the Dholidars, Butimars, Bhondedars and Muqararidars, who were entered as such, in the revenue records for more

other cases when 20 years have not been yet completed, and entries (supra) are entered in the revenue records, thereupon, the persons (supra) fulfilling the relevant tenure condition, thus in terms of Section 3 thereof become invested with all rights, title and interest over those lands whereovers such persons hold recorded cultivation.

3. Consequently, the instant writ petition is disposed of in terms of the orders made by this Court in the writ petition (supra).

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

19.03.2024

kavneet singh

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No