

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

118

LPA-765-2024

Date of decision: 20.03.2024

SAMMO AND ANOTHER

...Appellants

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Sukhdeep Singh, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. Amit Goyal, Addl. AG, Punjab.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J.(ORAL)

(1) The present intra court appeal is directed against the order dated 04.03.2024 passed by a learned Single Judge of this Court dismissing the appellants' writ petition through which they had sought protection of their life and liberty.

(2) Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the appellants are in a live-in-relationship which relationship of theirs has not been accepted by respondent No.4 (husband of appellant No.1). Therefore, respondent No.4 was threatening to eliminate the appellants. In this regard the appellants had made several representations to the police but to no avail.

(3) After hearing learned counsel for the appellants and going through the record of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter and in particular the legality of the alleged relationship between the appellants, after setting aside the impugned order, we dispose

of the instant appeal with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Mohali to forthwith consider the representation dated 14.02.2024 (Annexure P-5) made by the appellants and thereafter take appropriate action on the same as is deemed fit, in accordance with law, to ensure that no bodily harm comes to the appellants.

(4) It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to validate the alleged relationship between the appellants or to protect them from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

March 20, 2024
Nisha Yadav

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No