



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38574-2022

Date of Decision : **July 24, 2024**

STAR AGRIWAREHOUSING AND COLLATERAL MANAGEMENT
LTDPetitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANRRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Dr. Rau P.S.Girwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Arshdeep Singh Brar, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. prayer is made for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to respondent No.2 herein arising out of FIR No.195 dated 12.9.2019, under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 406, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Lambi, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, Punjab by the learned trial Court concerned.
2. At the very outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner on a specific query put by this Court whether the investigation in the instant FIR is complete or not, very fairly concedes that the investigation has already been completed and the final report has been filed. He further submits that the charges have been framed on 9.8.2021 and out of total 52 cited witnesses, 4 witnesses have been examined and thereupon the supplementary challan was filed and the case is now fixed for framing of fresh charges against the persons *qua* whom the supplementary challan has been filed.
3. It is not under dispute that respondent No.2 after getting anticipatory bail is regularly appearing before the learned trial Court concerned.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner also informs this Court that on the same set of allegations, the CBI has also registered one FIR against Amarya Food, however the same was quashed by the Division Bench of this Court vide judgment dated 27.5.2024 passed in CWP No.34297-2019, whereas the petition seeking quashing of another FIR *qua* the other co-accused is pending before the Division Bench of this Court.

5. Since respondent No.2 is regularly appearing before the learned trial Court concerned after extending the relief of anticipatory bail and even one of the FIR, which has been registered by the CBI and arising out of the same transaction has been quashed by the Division Bench of this Court, this Court is of the opinion that the issue of cancellation of bail is no more required for further consideration.

6. In view of the above, the instant petition is ordered to be **dismissed**.

July 24, 2024
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No