



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

311

CRM-M-14879-2024

Date of decision: 20.05.2024

Sandeep Garsha and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Shalender Mohan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Naveen Dahiya, Advocate for
Ms. Aarti Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.820 dated 30.10.2023 under Sections 323/34/506 of the IPC and Section 3(1)(w) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (Amended 2015) registered at Police Station Hansi City, District Hisar and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 26.02.2024 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 02.04.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 18.04.2024 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Sub



CRM-M-14879-2024

-2-

Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Hansi, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed copies of statements of the parties alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Hansi and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioners.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

20.05.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No