

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(201)

CWP-PIL-48-2024
Decided on : 18.04.2024

Keerti Sandhu & another

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA,
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE LAPITA BANERJI

Present: Mr.Jatin Bansal, Advocate
Mr.Harshit Kakani, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Mr.Saurav Khurana, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

Mr.Satya Pal Jain, Addl.Solicitor General of India
with Mr.Shobit Phutela, Advocate, for respondent No.5-UOI.

Mr.Prateek Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.6.

G.S. Sandhawalia, Acting Chief Justice (Oral)

1. The Apex Court, vide order dated 01.04.2024 passed in SLP(C) No.7152-2024 titled *State of Punjab & another Vs. Keerti Sandhu & others*, has set aside the order dated 14.03.2024 passed by us. The relevant portion of the order of the Apex Court reads as under:

“13. The Advocate General informs the Court that the Chief Justice of the High Court has been moved by the State Government for initiating the process of nominating a Judge of the High Court as part of the Selection Committee for nominating the Chairperson and Member. This exercise has been completed and the selection process has been initiated. Since the nominees of the Chief Justice are overseeing the process, we would earnestly request that the process be completed with all reasonable dispatch so that the constitution of the Authority is duly completed preferably by 15 May 2024.

14. In the meantime, the arrangement which has been made by the State Government of appointing Shri M S Jaggi, IAS to discharge the duties and functions of the authority under the statute shall continue to hold the field.
15. In view of the above directions, we set aside the impugned order dated 14 March 2024 of the High Court. The PIL which has been filed by two members of the Bar shall stand disposed of since no further issues would survive in view of the directions issued above.
16. The Special Leave Petition is accordingly disposed of.
- 17 Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.”

2. A perusal of the above would go on to show that the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and nothing survives. Accordingly, the same is disposed of as such, in terms of the order passed by the Apex Court, as noticed above.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

(LAPITA BANERJI)
JUDGE

18.04.2024
Sailesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	
Whether Reportable :		No