

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-2459-2024
Date of decision: 10.04.2024

Satnam SinghPetitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Shivpartap Singh Khattrra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of instant petition preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks direction to respondent No. 2 to take appropriate legal action on the representation dated 11.03.2024 (Annexure P-6).
2. Heard.
3. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that feeling aggrieved by the acts and conduct of respondent No. 4, the petitioner had moved various representations including representation dated 11.03.2024 (Annexure P-6) to the authorities, but the same is still pending decision. Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that for the purpose of the present petition, the petitioner will be

satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No. 2 to consider and dispose of the aforesaid representation.

- 4. Notice of motion to the official respondents.
- 5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harpreet Singh, Addl. AG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondents and submits that the aforesaid representation will be decided expeditiously in accordance with the law.
- 6 In the circumstances of the case and without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, Punjab, to consider the averments made in the representation dated 11.03.2024 (Annexure P-6), to dispose of the same expeditiously and to take action, as warranted, under the provisions of the law.
- 7. Decision so taken be conveyed to the petitioner.
- 8. Disposed of.

10.04.2024
preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |