

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(118)

CWP-6329-2024**Date of decision: - 18.03.2024****Kapil****....Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana and others****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned result dated 11.02.2024 (Annexure P-10) and 29.02.2024 (Annexure P-12).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that as per the result (Annexure P-8), the petitioner has scored 64.11 marks. It is further submitted that the petitioner had applied under the EWS category and the cutoff with respect to the EWS category was 63.39 marks as apparent from Annexure P-10 (at page 77 of the paper-book). It is stated that for the grievances raised by the petitioner, the petitioner had given several representations including the representation dated 01.03.2024 (Annexure P-13) and at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, competent authority of respondent No.3-Commission considers the representation dated 01.03.2024 (Annexure P-13), in accordance with law, within a specified time frame and in case, the pleas raised by the

petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, grant the appropriate relief to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents has submitted that competent authority of respondent No.3-Commission would consider the said representation dated 01.03.2024 (Annexure P-13), filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law, and the same would be done within a period of four weeks from the date of certified copy of this order.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of, with a direction to competent authority of respondent No.3-Commission to consider the representation dated 01.03.2024 (Annexure P-13) filed by the petitioner within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order and in case, competent authority of respondent No.3-Commission is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritorious, then, the appropriate relief be granted to the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible. In case, competent authority of respondent No.3-Commission is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of four weeks.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and competent authority of respondent No.3-Commission would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

March 18, 2024
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No