

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

138

CRWP-2478-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.03.2024

MANPREET AND ANR

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. Parveen Kumar Garg, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

SANJIV BERRY. J. (Oral)

The present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been preferred by the petitioners for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus seeking appropriate directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondents..

2. It is, *inter alia*, contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that apprehending threat to their life and liberty, the petitioners had moved a representation dated 12.03.2024 (Annexure P-5) before respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala, but till date no action thereon has been taken. He submits that for the purpose of this petition, the petitioners will be satisfied if directions are given to respondent No.2 to consider and dispose of the representation dated 12.03.2024 (Annexure P-5) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

5. After considering the respective submissions made by learned

CRWP-2478-2024 (O&M)

counsel for the petitioners and the averments made in the petition, without commenting on the merits thereof, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to consider and dispose of the representation dated 12.03.2024 (Annexure P-5) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner. The decision so taken be intimated to the petitioners as well.

19.03.2024
S.Sharma(syr)

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/ No</i>