

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.13439 of 2024

Date of decision: 16th April, 2024

Tarsem Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Gagandeep S. Bajwa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in cross case bearing GD No.24 dated 07.02.2024 registered under Sections 452, 324, 34, 506 IPC registered at Police Station Lopoke, District Amritsar, arising out of FIR No.18 dated 05.02.2024 under Sections 325, 323, 341 and 34 IPC registered at Police Station Lopoke, District Amritsar.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 15.03.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, a Coordinate Bench of this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

“Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner inter alia contends that the FIR (supra) was registered on the complaint made by the petitioner whereas he has been

implicated in the cross-version after a delay of two days of the registration of the FIR and admittedly, the injury attributed to the petitioner is simple in nature.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 15.03.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Rachpal Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 15.03.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

April 16, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No