

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

LPA-739-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 02.04.2024

Satish Kumar
...Appellant(s)

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd., Patiala and others
...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
 HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE LAPITA BANERJI

Present: Mr. Jaspal Singh Maanipur, Advocate,
 for the appellant.

 Ms. Harpriya Khaneka, Advocate,
 for the respondents.

* * * *

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, A.C.J. (ORAL)

1. The challenge is to the transfer order dated 22.02.2024 (Annexure P-7), whereby the appellant-writ petitioner has been transferred from the office of Technical Unit-1, DS Special Division Focal Point, Ludhiana to office of the Assistant Engineer, Transmission Line, G.T. Road, Ludhiana against a vacant post.
2. We do not see any ground to interfere in the order of the learned Single Judge who has dismissed the writ petition as no inconvenience can be caused plausibly to the employee and there are no malafides as such in such a transfer order. It is settled principle, as laid down by the Apex Court in *Shilpi Bose (Mrs.) and others Vs. State of Bihar and others*, 1991 Supp (2) Supreme Court Cases 659; *Union of India and others Vs. S.L. Abbas*, (1993) 4 Supreme Court Cases 357 and *National Hydroelectric Power Corporation*

Ltd. Vs. Shri Bhagwan and another, (2001) 8 Supreme Court Cases 574, that the Writ Court is not to interfere in such matters.

3. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the appellant does not press the present appeal and prays for liberty to avail appropriate legal remedy.

4. The appeal accordingly stands dismissed as not pressed with the aforesaid liberty.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

(LAPITA BANERJI)
JUDGE

02.04.2024
Amodh Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No