

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

103

**2024:PHHC:044383
CWP No.6141 of 2024
Date of Decision:03.04.2024**

Jasbir Singh

....Petitioner

VS.

Union of India and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. D.S.Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner

Ms. Shreyansi Verma, Central Govt. Counsel
for the Union of India

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to re-issue him passport. He is further seeking direction to respondents to act upon legal notice/representation dated 15.11.2023 (Annexure P-4).

2. The petitioner was issued passport on 04.02.2013 which was valid upto 03.02.2023. He applied for re-issuance of passport on 18.04.2023. In the application, there was clerical mistake with respect to location of jurisdictional police station.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Shreyansi Verma, Central Government Counsel for Union of India, who on advance notice is present in Court, accepts notice and

waives service. She submits that application of the petitioner was processed and it was found that he has also filed another application. To ascertain status of said application, notice dated 13.02.2024 was issued to him. He has not responded to said notice. If he files response to said notice, the passport authority, thereafter, would decide his application seeking passport within eight weeks.

5. Mr. D.S.Sandhu, Advocate, agrees to the aforesaid arrangement.
6. The petition stands disposed of with liberty to petitioner to file response to notice dated 13.02.2024 issued by respondents. The passport authority shall decide his application seeking re-issuance of passport within eight weeks from the date of filing response to the aforesaid notice.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

03.04.2024
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	
Whether reportable:		No