

129 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-2447-2024

Date of decision: 18.03.2024

Gurpreet Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

V/s

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Mohit Kakkar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have approached this Court under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for seeking issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 for protecting their lives and personal liberty from respondents No.4 and 5.

The contention of learned counsel for the petitioners is that both the petitioners are major, aged above 31 years and above 30 years respectively. In support thereof, copies of Aadhaar Cards pertaining to the petitioners have been appended. The learned counsel for petitioners further contends that they have performed marriage against the wishes of their parents and the marriage of the petitioners was solemnized on 12.03.2024. Copy of Marriage Certificate (Annexure P-3) and copy of photographs of marriage (Annexure P-4) have also been appended. He further contends that the petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and liberty and claim that there is a constant danger of being implicated in a false case, whereas even a representation dated 12.03.2024 (Annexure P-5) has also been sent by the petitioners to the respondents No.2 and 3 i.e. Senior

Superintendent of Police, Bathinda and SHO Police Station Nandgarh, Bathinda but the same has not been decided till date and the petitioners would be satisfied if the said representation is decided in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion restricted to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy, Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and has no objection if appropriate directions are given for deciding the representation submitted by the petitioners.

Therefore, without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondents No.2 and 3 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda and SHO Police Station Nandgarh, Bathinda to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action for protection of their lives and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances in accordance with law.

The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition to respondents No.2 and 3 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda and SHO Police Station Nandgarh, Bathinda for requisite compliance.

The petition is disposed of

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

18.03.2024
Sapna

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No