

103

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14418-2024(O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.04.2024

Deepak Jain

...Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Saurabh Dalal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Ms. Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Vipul sharma, Advocate, for the complainant.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

CRM-12847-2024

1. The petitioner has filed the present application under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for seeking exemption from filing certified and true typed copies of the impugned order, Annexures and FIR.
2. For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. The petitioner is exempted from filing certified and true typed copies of the impugned order, Annexures and FIR.

CRM-15241-2024

1. The petitioner has filed the present application under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for placing on record the account statement i.e. Annexure P-2.
2. Application is allowed. Annexure P-2 is taken on record.

CRM-16496-2024

1. The petitioner has filed the present application under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for placing on record the affidavit i.e. Annexure P-3.
2. Application is allowed. Annexure P-3 is taken on record.

CRM-M-14418-2024

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 438 of Cr.P.C. with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.45 dated 15.02.2024, registered under Sections 408, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC, at Police Station Sector-31, Faridabad.
2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the matter has been amicably resolved between the parties. He further contends that similarly placed co-accused Deep Chand has been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by the trial Court, whereas, Naresh Chitkara, co-accused has been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order of even date. He further contends that custody of the petitioner may not be required at this stage in the present case.
3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that there are serious allegations against the petitioner. However, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2/complainant has no objection, in case the present petition is allowed as the matter has been amicably resolved between the parties.
4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
5. Similarly placed co-accused Deep Chand and Naresh Chitkara have already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail. Apart from that, the parties have amicably resolved their dispute and the custody of the petitioner may not be required at this stage.
6. Without commenting any further on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is granted concession of anticipatory

bail, subject to the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. It will be open for the Investigating Officer to call the petitioner to join investigation, if so required, by issuing a written notice in this regard and he shall abide by the conditions mentioned in Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

18.04.2024.
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No