

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

CRWP-2482-2024**Date of decision: 18.03.2024**

Vikas Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present:-. Mr. Akashdeep Miglani, Advocate for the petitioner.

KIRTI SINGH, J.(Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India prayer has been made for issuance of directions to the respondents No. 2 and 3 to ensure safety and security to the life and liberty of the petitioner from the hands of private respondents and for not harassing the petitioner on any false criminal complaint, if lodged by the respondents No. 4 and 5 and decide the representation dated 30.01.2024.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the respondents to decide the representation dated 30.01.2024 (Annexure P-5) moved at the instance of petitioner by passing a speaking order expeditiously.

3. Prayer seems to be justified.

4. Upon advance notice, Mr. Kewal Singh, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 4 and raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

5. In view of the aforesaid, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib to look into the representation dated 30.01.2024 (Annexure P-5) and decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 08 (eight) weeks’ from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

18.03.2024

Rajeev(rvs)

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No