

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-18782-2023

Date of Decision:01.03.2024

Raminder Singh and others

...Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

Coram : **Hon’ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**

Present : Mr. Surjeet Singh Sodhi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Jasjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Pardeep Singh Mirpur, Advocate
for the respondents.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. This is a petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of DDR No. 37 dated 05.10.2022, under Sections 307,323,336,506,148, 149 of IPC and Sections 25,27,54,59 of Arms Act, Police Station City Budhlada, District Mansa in FIR No. 199 dated 04.10.2022 registered under Sections under Sections 307,323,336,506,148, 149 of IPC and Sections 25,27,54,59 of Arms Act, Police Station City Budhlada, District Mansa and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 09.02.2023. (Annexure P-4).
2. Vide order dated 07.02.2024, while issuing notice of motion, this Court had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with respect to the compromise.
3. Pursuant to aforesaid order, the parties have appeared before the SDJM, Budhlada and got their statements recorded. Report dated 26.02.2024 has been received whereby after recording the statements of the parties, the

Court below has shown its satisfaction that the compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Bahadur Singh submits that even though the offence is stated to be under Section 307 IPC, but only two injuries were declared to be grievous and remaining injuries were declared to be simple in nature. However, he submits that no injuries have been declared to be dangerous to life.

5. I have heard counsel for the parties and gone through the case file.

6. In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court below and considering the offence for which the accused have been charged are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out the differences for betterment of future life of the parties and also in view of the law laid down in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the present petition for quashing the FIR is allowed qua the petitioners.

7. Resultantly, DDR No. 37 dated 05.10.2022, under Sections 307,323,336,506,148, 149 of IPC and Sections 25,27,54,59 of Arms Act, Police Station City Budhlada, District Mansa in FIR No. 199 dated 04.10.2022 registered under Sections under Sections 307,323,336,506,148, 149 of IPC and Sections 25,27,54,59 of Arms Act, Police Station City Budhlada, District Mansa alongwith all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

01.03.2024
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE