



CWP-6575-2024 (O&M)

-1-

104+244

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-6575-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.05.2024

Mamta Aggarwal

..Petitioner

Versus

District Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Faridabad and others

.. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present : Mr. Ranjeet Singh Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Vivek Saini, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 to 4

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. A.G. Punjab
for respondents No. 5 and 6

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)CM-9033-2024

Application is allowed as prayed for and Annexure A-1 is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

CWP-6575-2024 (O&M)

1. Prayer in the instant writ petition is for issuance of direction to respondent No. 1 to decide the execution petition No. 34/2021 in a time bound manner as the same has remained pending for more than two years.
2. Reply on behalf of respondent No. 6 has already been filed.
3. Learned counsel for respondents No. 2 to 4 prays for some more time

to file reply. However, taking into consideration that the prayer made by the

**CWP-6575-2024 (O&M)****-2-**

petitioner is only for issuance of direction to the District Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Faridabad to expeditiously decide the pending execution petition, I do not find that filing of any reply would serve any purpose, rather the same would only further delay in determination of the execution proceedings.

4. Besides, in view of the order which this Court proposes to pass, reply of the said respondents even otherwise would not be necessary.

5. Without commenting on the merits of the instant case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the District Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Faridabad to decide the pending execution petition No. 34/2021 within a period of three months from the date next fixed in accordance with law.

May 27, 2024
reena

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No