

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.6138 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 15.03.2024

Sat Pal
....Petitioner
Versus
Punjab State Warehousing Corporation and another
....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Hardeep Saini, Advocate
for Mr. J.P. Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing respondent No.2 to decide the statutory appeal dated 10.12.2023 (Annexure P-2) filed by the petitioner against the order of punishment dated 18.10.2023 (Annexure P-1) issued under endorsement No.PWC/EST/E-10/EF-345/21522-28/23 dated 31.10.2023 passed by respondent No.1, vide which punishment of stoppage of two increments with cumulative effect has been imposed upon the petitioner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for deciding the statutory appeal dated 10.12.2023 (Annexure P-2) filed by the petitioner expeditiously by passing a speaking order in a time-bound manner.
3. Notice of motion.

2024:PHHC:037361

4. Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, Advocate, who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raised no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.
6. Accordingly, respondent No.2 – Appellate Authority, Punjab State Warehousing Corporation, is directed to decide the statutory appeal dated 10.12.2023 (Annexure P-2) filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law by passing a speaking order as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of 06 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and convey the same to the petitioner.
7. The petition stands disposed of.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

15.03.2024
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No