

128

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-1707-2023(O&M)
Date of decision : 09.05.2024

Om Prakash through LRs

Vs.

...Petitioner

Raj Kumar through LRs and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tushar Gautam, Advocate
for the respondents.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

1. In this revision petition, the plaintiff assails the correctness of interlocutory order passed by the trial Court on 10.03.2023, while refusing to send the Will for forensic examination to compare the thumb impression of the husband of the testator. This application was filed in rebuttal evidence.
2. Upon realizing that the scope of rebuttal evidence is limited in view of the two different Division Bench judgments in '*Surjit Singh and others vs. Jagtar Singh and others*' 2007 (1) RCR (Civil) 537 and '*Jagdev Singh and others vs. Darshan Singh and others*' 2007 (1) RCR (Civil) 794, the learned counsel representing the petitioner prays for permission to withdraw the revision petition in order to file an appropriate application before the trial Court.
3. Dismissed as withdrawn.

09.05.2024

neeraj

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Whether Reportable :

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Yes

No

Yes

No