



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

299

Date of decision : 14.05.2024

(i) CRM-M No. 13167 of 2024 (O&M)

Gulshan

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

(ii) CRM-M No. 14922 of 2024 (O&M)

Mandip Singh @ Manadip Singh @ Deepi

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

(iii) CRM-M No. 15070 of 2024 (O&M)

Jaswinder Singh @ Ganni

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

(iv) CRM-M No. 15358 of 2024 (O&M)

Lagan Dhalot @ Lagan Dhalod (minor) through natural his guardian

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

(v) CRM-M No. 15946 of 2024 (O&M)

Mohd. Armaan

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent



(vi) CRM-M No. 16333 of 2024 (O&M)

Abhishek Kumar (minor) through his natural guardian
..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab Respondent

(vii) CRM-M No. 13363 of 2024 (O&M)

Gurshish Singh (minor) through his natural guardian
..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab Respondent

(viii) CRM-M No. 14741 of 2024 (O&M)

Prabhleen Gagat @ Billa (minor through his natural guardian)
..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present :- Mr. Amandeep Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner in **CRM-M No. 15358 of 2024,**
CRM-M No. 13167 of 2024 & CRM-M No. 14741 of 2024.

Mr. Surinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner in **CRM-M-13363-2024.**

Mr. Ajay Singh Rawat, Advocate
for the petitioner in **CRM-M No. 15946 of 2024.**

Mr. Himanshu Garg, Advocate and
Mr. Kartik Dhiman, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) in **CRM-M No. 14922 of 2024,**
CRM-M No. 15070 of 2024 & CRM-M No. 16333 of 2024.

Mr. J.S. Arora, DAG, Punjab.



Mr. Amritpal Singh Mann, Advocate and
Mr. Varinder Singh Saini, Advocate
for the complainant.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

1. By this common order, this Court purposes to dispose off the above referred eight petitions together.
2. By way of present petitions the petitioners seek their pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.043 dated 08.02.2024, registered under Sections 323/341/506/148/149 IPC (Sections 365/379- B/325 IPC added later on) at Police Station City Derabassi, District Mohali.
3. Learned counsel representing the petitioners submit that it is a case, wherein young boys in their prime youth entered into an altercation apart from offence punishable under Section 341 IPC. However, the offences are non-cognizable, though later on offence punishable under Sections 365, 379- B, 325 IPC had been added.
4. Counsels submit that all the petitioners are full of remorse and regret, so the same should not be taken as admission on their part of their guilt for the purpose of trial, although the petitioners are present in person, the Court have interacted.
5. Keeping in view the nature of the allegations and the age of the petitioners and the fact that all of them are first time offenders, the present petitions are allowed. Orders, vide which they were granted interim bail i.e.

Case No(s)	Order dated
CRM-M No. 13167 of 2024	14.03.2024
CRM-M No. 13363 of 2024	15.03.2024
CRM-M No. 14741 of 2024	21.03.2024



CRM-M No. 16333 of 2024	04.04.2024
CRM-M No. 15946 of 2024	03.04.2024
CRM-M No. 15358 of 2024	22.03.2024
CRM-M No. 14922 of 2024	22.03.2024
CRM-M No. 15070 of 2024	22.03.2024

are made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C., as all of them have joined investigation. However, the petitioners are granted this discretionary relief with a warning that in case they violate any condition or indulge in any criminal activity. The prosecution / victim shall be at liberty to move appropriate application seeking cancellation of the bail.

6. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. This order does not in any manner limit or restrict the rights or duties of the police or investigating agency, to investigate into the charges against the petitioner(s).

8. The petitioner(s) shall be deemed to be in custody for the purpose of Section 27 of the Evidence Act, 1872 in regard to a discovery of facts made in pursuance of information supplied by the petitioner(s) in case the occasion arises.

9. It will be open to the police or the investigating agency to move this court for a direction under Section 439 (2) Cr.P.C. to arrest the accused, in the event of violation of any term, such as absconding, non-cooperating during investigation, evasion, intimidation or inducement to witnesses with



a view to influence outcome of the investigation or trial.

- 10. Petition(s) stands disposed off.
- 11. Needless to say that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
- 12. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file(s) of the connected cases.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

14.05.2024
Satyawar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No