

JAGJIT SINGH @ SABBI GIRN AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Siddharth Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Prikshit Thakur, Advocate for respondent No.2.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. the petitioners pray for quashing FIR No.34, dated 22.02.2023 registered under Sections 323, 324, 341,506, 148 &149 of IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, 1959 at P.S. City Phagwara, District Kapurthala along with all consequential proceedings arising out of the same on the basis of the compromise/affidavit dated 27.02.2023 (Annexure P-2).
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioners, along with some other persons stopped the complainant-respondent by pointing a gun at him who was riding over a motorcycle and gave beatings to him with their respective weapons. Though FIR has been lodged against six persons, however, the compromise has been effected with the present four petitioners only.
3. This Court while issuing notice of motion vide order dated 05.01.2024 directed the parties to appear before trial Court for getting their statements recorded as regards the veracity of the compromise arrived at between them.

4. In pursuance to the order dated 05.01.2024, a report dated 08.02.2024 has been received from the concerned Court, stating that the compromise in the present case is genuine and voluntary.

5. Thus once, the compromise has been arrived at between the parties without any pressure and respondent No.2 has no objection as regard quashing of FIR as well as all other subsequent proceedings arising out of the same against the petitioners; the dispute purely personal in nature, there does not appear to be any impediment as regards quashing of present FIR, in part, qua the petitioners. Even, in order to maintain peace and harmony between the parties, particularly under the circumstances wherein the alleged offences have no societal interest involved, it would be appropriate to render complete quietus to the aforementioned dispute by quashing the FIR in question, qua the petitioners, on the basis of compromise entered into between the parties.

6. The parties having settled their dispute so as to live in peace in future, no useful purpose would be served by proceeding further with the criminal proceedings. In the light of above developments, no cause remains for the trial Court to invest further time and effort in adjudicating this FIR. The compromise in question is even found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR(Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4)RCR (Crl.) 543***”.

7. Further, learned counsel for the petitioners, while placing reliance upon the judgments passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **‘Jayrajsingh Digvijaysinh Rana Vs. State of Gujarat and Another’**, **2012(4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 589** and this Court in **‘Joginder Singh & Another Vs. State of Punjab and Another’**, passed in CRM-M-23739-2010 decided on 27.04.2011, **‘Rajinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Another’**, passed in CRM-M- 37395-2016decided on 16.05.2017 and **‘Vimal Kalra & others Vs. State of Punjab & another’**, passed in CRM-M-20355-2022, decided on 25.07.2022 submits that partial quashing of the FIR is possible on the basis of a compromise.

8. Thus, in view of the aforesaid report, accompanied by statements of both the parties as well as keeping in mind the law laid down in the aforementioned judgments, the FIR No.34, dated 22.02.2023 registered under Sections 323, 324, 341, 506, 148 &149 of IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, 1959 at P.S. City Phagwara, District Kapurthala along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

9. The aforesaid order shall, however, be subject to payment of Costs of Rs.20,000/- (Rs.5,000/- each) to be deposited with Poor Patients’ Welfare Fund, PGIMER, Chandigarh, within a period of two weeks from today.

07.03.2024
tejwinder

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No