

248 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.911 of 2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 19.04.2024

HARINDER SINGH AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

Versus

SANJAY MITTAL AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. Raj Karan Singh Verka, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Geeta Singhwal, Advocate,
Senior Panel Counsel for respondent No.1-UOI.

Mr. Rishi Kaushal, Advocate,
for the respondent-NHAI.

Ms. Jasleen Kaur Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India for initiating contempt proceedings against the respondents for disobedience of the order dated 15.07.2014 passed in CWP-13416-2014.

2. Compliance report on behalf of respondent Nos.1 & 2 and short affidavit on behalf of respondent No.3 have been filed today in the Court. The same are taken on record. Registry is directed to scan the same and place it at appropriate place.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.3 has submitted that the order dated 15.07.2014 passed in CWP-13416-2014 has been complied with and instructions have been issued for making payment in the account of the petitioners through RTGS. Necessary documents to this effect have also been annexed with the short affidavit of respondent No.3.

4. In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuous and dismissed, as such.
5. However, if any grievance of the petitioners is left unredressed, they would be at liberty to raise the same in any alternate remedy, but in accordance with law.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

19.04.2024
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No