

2024:PHHC:085771



302.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13432-2024

Date of decision: 10.07.2024

Kapil Chohan and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURBIR SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajat Sheokand, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Punit Verma, Advocate, for respondents No.2 and 3.

GURBIR SINGH, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.0625, dated 28.11.2022, under Sections 285, 323, 34, 506 of IPC and Section 25(1)(a) of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Jind City, District Jind (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 09.03.2024 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

2. This Court, vide order dated 15.03.2024, had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send the report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

3. In compliance thereof, report dated 02.04.2024 from learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jind, has been received through the District & Sessions Judge, Jind, with statements of the parties, in which, it has been mentioned that the compromise is genuine and has been effected between the parties voluntarily, without any coercion or undue influence.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3 has not disputed the factum of compromise.

5. Learned State counsel submits that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

7. In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

8. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and approved by the

Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.0625, dated 28.11.2022, under Sections 285, 323, 34, 506 of IPC and Section 25(1)(a) of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Jind City, District Jind (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed qua the petitioners, on the basis of compromise dated 09.03.2024 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

(GURBIR SINGH)
JUDGE

July 10, 2024

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No