

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

122

CRM-M-13637-2024

Date of decision: 18.03.2024

Sardool Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Kehar Singh Hissowal, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to respondent No.2 to consider his representation dated 19.01.2024 (Annexure P-6) and take appropriate legal action against respondent No.4.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite the police having been approached time and again, the private respondent, who has allegedly played a huge fraud upon the petitioner, the official respondents have been sitting over the matter and have failed initiate any action against respondent No.4. In support, he has drawn the attention of this Court to Annexure P-6 which is a representation moved by the petitioner. It has been submitted that the official respondents were under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on his complaint/representation.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of and respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa, is directed to look into the grievance of the petitioner and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.2 does not find any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation dated 19.01.2024 (Annexure P-6), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. : 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.***

18.03.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No