

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

129

RSA-3653-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 24.01.2024

Satish Anand and others

...Appellants

Versus

Madhu Anand and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate for the appellants.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

1. This Regular Second Appeal has been preferred by the defendant-appellants aggrieved by the judgments and decree dated 11.05.2017 and 14.12.2018, whereby the suit for separate possession by way of partition by metes and bounds and consequential relief of permanent injunction, was partly decreed by the trial Court and the appeal filed thereagainst has been dismissed by the first Appellate Court.
2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the appellants submits that during the pendency of the instant appeal, the plaintiff-respondents have sold the suit property, jointly to appellant Nos.1 and 2 and respondent No.2, vide sale deed dated 19.11.2020. It is further submitted that in view of the same, no legal interest in the suit property is left with the plaintiff-respondents and as such nothing survives to be adjudicated in the present appeal. Notice of the appeal has also not been issued as yet. It is, thus, prayed that on account of the above the appeal has been rendered infructuous and the same be disposed of as such.
3. Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

January 24, 2024
sumit.k

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Whether Reportable :

Yes / No

Yes / No