

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

234 (A)

CWP-9766-1999(O&M)
Decided on :15.04.2024

Teja Singh

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Neha Sonawane, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Arjun Partap Atma Ram, Advocate
for respondent No. 3.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for respondent No. 3 has pointed out that in the present case, the petitioner as well as respondent No. 3 have retired and thus, the present petition, in all probability, has been rendered infructuous.
2. None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to rebut the said aspect.
3. In view of the above facts and circumstances, present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the petition, in case, any cause of action survives.
4. Registry is directed to send the copy of the present order to the petitioner on the address mentioned in the memo of parties.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

15.04.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No