

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No. 8443 of 2024

Date of decision: 18.04.2024

Sh. Vishal Khandelwal

.... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Sonal Anand, Advocate with
Mr. Aayush Sai, Advocate and
Ms. Surbhi Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J (Oral)

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General Haryana, is present in Court on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4.

At the outset, on instructions, he submits that upon receipt of objections, preferred by the petitioner, the Senior Town Planner, Gurugram Circle, Gurugram, vide communication dated 29.02.2024, has required Victory Infraedge Pvt. Ltd. (respondent No.5) to submit its response. However, he submits that necessary reply by respondent No.5 is yet to be furnished. Therefore, it is submitted that till the objections furnished by the petitioner are dealt with by the competent authority, the promoter/builder (respondent No.5) shall not be granted final approval, and would be prohibited to carry out any further constructions in terms of the proposed plan. Not just that, he submits that he has instructions from the Senior Town Planner, Gurugram Circle, Gurugram, to submit that, in the event any construction is carried out by the respondent No.5, without there being any final approval/sanction of the revised plan, suitable action in accordance with law shall be taken.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let this petition be disposed of in terms of the statement made by learned State counsel.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, and in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is accordingly disposed of.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

18.04.2024
deepak

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No