

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

205

CRM-M-13112-2024
Date of Decision:25.04.2024

RAVI KUMARPetitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANARespondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Bakul Garg, Advocate for
Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Randhir Singh, Additional AG, Haryana.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(ORAL)

Status report by way of an affidavit of Shri Suresh Kumar, HPS,
Deputy Superintendent of Police, Barara has already been filed on behalf of
the respondent-State, received through Registry, which is taken on record.

On 14.03.2024, following order was passed:

*“Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail under
Section 438 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.86 dated 12.03.2023 registered under
Sections 397 of the IPC (Section 201 of the IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act
added later on) registered at Police Station Mullana, District Ambala.*

*FIR was lodged on the complaint of one Hoshiar Singh, as
per which on the night of 11.03.2023, three unknown persons entered his
poultry farm and at pistol point, took away cocks/roosters.*

*It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the
petitioner has been nominated on the basis of disclosure statement of
Bhisham Singh, who was arrested two months of the alleged occurrence in
some other case. Learned counsel further contends that petitioner is not
named in the FIR and has nothing to do with the offence and that he is
ready to join the investigation.*

Notice of motion.

*Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana accepts notice
on behalf of respondent- State.*

Adjourned to 25.04.2024 for filing status report.

In the meantime, in case of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. However, it is directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. He shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade him from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. He shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

2. Today learned State counsel, submits that petitioner has since joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.
3. Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case order dated 14.03.2024 is hereby made absolute, whereby petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail.
4. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

25.04.2024

pry

Whether Speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No