

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(126)

CWP-5896-2024

Date of Decision : March 13, 2024

Sandeep Yadav

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. S.P. Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the petitioner applied for Group C post as advertised vide Advertisement No.1 of 2023 dated 30.05.2023 (Annexure P-1) but while filling up the form, the petitioner mentioned his category as BC-B whereas, he intended to compete in the reserved category of ex-servicemen (General).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the respondents themselves gave liberty to the candidates to correct the category in case the same has wrongly filled up and the petitioner also submitted an application for changing his category from BC-B to dependent of ex-servicemen (General) but no action is being taken on the said application whereas, as per the result declared, the petitioner has secured more marks than the last candidate, who has been made eligible in the

category of dependent of ex-servicemen (General).

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioner in the representation dated 08.03.2024 (Annexure P-8) which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

6. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the representation dated 08.03.2024 (Annexure P-8) has been received in the concerned office and is still pending consideration, the same will be decided by the authorities concerned within a period of four weeks of the receipt of copy of this order.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

8. Ordered accordingly.

March 13, 2024

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No