



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

233

CWP-9007-2021**Decided on : 13.05.2024**

Dipankar Ghosh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union of India and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Vishal Mittal, Advocate for
Mr. Yogesh Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, counsel appearing for respondents No.2 to 4 produces the office note dated 15.12.2023, released by the Account Officer (Higher Wages Pension Cell), Employees Provident Fund Organization, Regional Office, Plot No.1, Sector 3, Rohtak (Haryana). Same is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. Office to tag the same at appropriate place.

2. Counsel for respondents No.2 to 4 further submits that the petitioner had submitted his option before 11th July, 2023, and therefore, his request is under consideration, and in near future, decision over the same would be finalized.

3. Further submits that in view of the status explained by him here-above as well as in the office note dated 15.12.2023, the present writ petition i.e. CWP-9007-2021 be disposed of, same being infructuous.



4. Counsel for the petitioner is also in agreement with the contention of counsel for respondents No.2 to 4, and agrees for disposal of the writ petition.

5. Accordingly, in view of the facts explained by counsel for respondents No.2 to 4, and recorded here-above, the present writ petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to file the writ petition again, in case, his request is rejected by respondents No.2 to 4 (Department).

Besides, the final decision on the request of the petitioner, be taken within a period of six months from today.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

May 13, 2024
J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No