



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6987-2022(O&M)

Date of decision: 26.09.2024

Sanjeev Kumar Juneja

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**

Present: Mr. Kshitij Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. AG, Haryana, and
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate.
Ms. Vasundhra Asija Bhandari, Advocate, for
Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate, for the respondent(s)-HSVP.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, as always, fairly submits that post institution of the petition at hand in March, 2022, certain crucial developments have come into being. And, as a result, several civil misc. applications to bring on record the necessary documents, which are germane to the matter in issue, have since been filed. However, he submits, for the effective and conclusive determination of the dispute, it would rather be expedient if he is permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to file afresh on the same cause of action, with complete particulars/details and appending therewith all needed documents.

Learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana and learned counsel for the respondent(s)-HSVP submit that they have no objection if the petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty prayed for, though a response on behalf of HSVP has already been filed.

Accordingly, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty prayed for.

**(Arun Palli)
Judge**

**(Deepak Gupta)
Judge**

26.09.2024
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES/NO
YES/NO