

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-2363-2024  
DECIDED ON: 22.03.2024

TRISHA DEVI AND ANOTHER  
.....PETITIONERS  
  
VERSUS  
  
STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS  
.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Suresh Kumar Arya, Advocate  
for the petitioner.  
  
Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus seeking direction to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

Considering the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner, wherein serious threat perceptions were raised, the following order was passed on 14.03.2024:-

*“The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226/227 TANA of the Constitution of India for directing the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.*

*Considering the allegations levelled in the instant petition, wherein petitioner No.2, who is already married with respondent No.6 namely Muskan has started living with petitioner No.1 aged 18 years, the State of Punjab is called upon to verify the aspects of allegations levelled in the instant petition and file a status report with regard to the threat perception, as has been raised in the instant petition and representation dated 11.03.2024 (Annexure P-3).*

*Adjourned to 22.03.2024.”*

In compliance to the aforesaid order, a short reply by way of an affidavit of Rajbir Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Rural, Gurdaspur has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3-State, which is taken on record. Relevant para No.3 of the said status report reads as under:-

*“That it is humbly submitted that in compliance of above said order, the report has been obtained from SHO Police Station Bhaini Mian Khan (Respondent No.3). SHO has submitted in his report that the petitioners got recorded their statement to SI Kuldip Raj of Police Station Bhaini Mian Khan on 20.03.2024 stating that at present there is no apprehended threat to the petitioners and they do not want any security. The statements of petitioners are annexed herewith as Annexures R-1/T & R-2/T.”*

A perusal of the above said para of the status report reveals that there is no threat existing to the petitioners, who themselves have made a

statement before SI Kuldip Raj on 20.03.2024 that they do not want any security cover.

In view of the discussions made hereinabove, this Court does not find any reason to disbelieve the statement recorded by the petitioners before SI Kuldip Raj, which is annexed as Annexures R-1/T & R-2/T.

In the light of above, nothing survives in the instant petition which is absolutely mis-directed and hence, the same is dismissed being devoid of any merits.

22.03.2024  
Poonam Negi

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)  
JUDGE

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |