

Date of Decision:22.03.2024

...Petitioner

VS.

...Respondents

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is having a dispute with respondent No.4, who is a builder. He further contends that the respondent/builder in collusion with one Kavita Ghai got one FIR No. 42 dated 22.03.2023 under Sections 385,417,420,447,448,506,120-B of IPC, Police Station Sadar, Jalandhar registered against the petitioner and one Harmeet Singh. Learned counsel further contends that even one more FIR No. 47 dated 29.03.2023 under Sections 387,469,506 of IPC and under Section 30 of Arms Act, Police Station City, Sadar, Jalandhar has also been registered against the petitioner and his wife namely Sapna Mishra.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that one more FIR No.62 dated 18.04.2023 under Sections 406, 120-B of IPC, Police Station Sadar, District Jalandhar has also been registered against the present petitioner at the instance of one Saurav Dassaur. Learned counsel further contends that the respondent No.4 in collusion with respondent No.5 had filed one complaint vide the application No.118 dated 31.03.2023 against the petitioner and the enquiry is being conducted by Additional Deputy Commissioner of Police-II, Jalandhar. He further contends that the petitioner may be falsely implicated in some criminal cases at the instance of respondents No.4 and 5.

4. On the other hand learned State counsel submits that on receipt of the application No.118 dated 31.03.2023, an enquiry was being conducted by Additional Deputy Commissioner of Police-II, Jalandhar, however, the said enquiry has been closed. Learned counsel further submits that a civil suit No. CS-1396-2020 seeking a decree of permanent injunction has already been filed by the present petitioner and in the said civil suit, an interim order has been granted in favour of the present petitioner. Learned counsel further submits that there is no threat to the life and liberty of the present petitioner and his family members, however, in case, any representation is received from the petitioner seeking protection of life and liberty, appropriate action shall be taken on the same, in accordance with law.

5. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, no further directions are required and the petition is accordingly disposed off.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

22.03.2024
hitesh

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No