

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*\*

206 (4)

CRM-M-13606-2024  
Date of Decision.:30.04.2024

Gurmej Singh  
State of Haryana and Another

Vs.

Petitioner  
Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: Mr. Kuljit Singh, Advocate for  
Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana assisted by ASI Devraj.

Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

Memo of appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 has been filed.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Devraj, informs that investigation *qua* respondent No.2- Sunita is already complete and that State does not seek cancellation of the anticipatory bail granted to said respondent No.2.

This Court has also gone through order dated 13.02.2024 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar, whereby respondent No.2 was granted benefit of pre-arrest bail.

There is no merit in the present petition seeking cancellation of anticipatory bail under Section 439(2) Cr.P.C.

Dismissed.

( DEEPAK GUPTA )  
JUDGE

April 30, 2024  
Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned Yes/No  
Whether Reportable Yes/No