

210

CRM-M-13027-2024

Date of decision : 09.05.2024

RAJVIR SINGH BHATTI ALIAS RAJAN BHATTI

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : Mr. Naresh Chander, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

On 14.03.2024, the following order was passed :-

Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.17 dated 18.02.2023, registered under Sections 452/324/427/341/506/148/ 149/120-B IPC (Section 326/307 IPC added later on) at Police Station City Banga, District Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar, Punjab, petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* submits that the petitioner was not even present on the spot and no injury has been attributed to him. He has only been attributed motive.

Notice of motion for 09.05.2024.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/ Investigating Officer. As and when called, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the conditions enumerated



under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.”

2. Today, Ld. State Counsel on instructions from ASI Mohinderpal submits that the petitioner has already joined investigation and is no more required for custodial interrogation.

3. Without commenting on the merits of the case and in view of the aforesaid fact, order dated 14.03.2024 is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Sections 438(2) Cr.P.C.

4. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting the petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. This order does not in any manner limit or restrict the rights or duties of the police or investigating agency to investigate into the charges against the petitioner.

6. The petitioner shall be deemed to be in custody for the purpose of Section 27 of the Evidence Act in regard to a discovery of facts made in pursuance of information supplied by the petitioner in case the occasion arises.

7. It will be open to the police or the investigating agency to move this Court for a direction under Section 439(2) Cr.P.C. to arrest the accused, in the event of violation of any term, such as absconding, non-cooperating during investigation, evasion, intimidation or inducement to witnesses with a view to influence outcome of the investigation or trial.



8. Petition stands disposed off accordingly.

May 09, 2024			(Pankaj Jain)
Dpr			Judge
	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No