



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

232

COC-845-2024

Date of decision: 23.05.2024

RAJPAL

...Petitioner

Versus

SUDHIR RAJPAL

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. Shubham Aneja, Advocate for
Mr. Mukesh Rao, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, for punishing the respondent for disobeying the order dated 09.01.2024 passed in CWP-301-2024.

2. Counsel for the respondent submits that the order dated 09.01.2024 passed in CWP-301-2024 has since been complied with and the petitioner has been promoted to the post of Principal w.e.f. 26.04.2023/28.04.2023 i.e. the date his junior Sh. Pardeep Kumar, was promoted as Principal alongwith benefit of notional pay fixation and seniority on officiating capacity as Principal. Even, the costs of Rs.25,000/-, in pursuant to the aforesaid order dated 09.01.2024, have also been paid to the petitioner. Copy of promotion order dated 14.05.2024 alongwith UPI transaction receipt dated 23.05.2024, amounting to Rs.25,000/-, produced by the counsel for the respondent in the Court today,



is taken on record. Registry is directed to scan and tag it at an appropriate place.

3. Counsel for the petitioner has not even disputed the aforesaid fact.

4. In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuous and dismissed, as such.

5. However, if any grievance of the petitioner is left unredressed, he would be at liberty to raise the same in any other alternate remedy, but in accordance with law.

23.05.2024
parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No