

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

214

CWP-8587-1998(O&M)  
Decided on :21.03.2024

Raghbir Singh and others

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

**PRESENT:** Mr. Rajesh Tushar, Advocate for  
Mr. Ravi Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amandeep Joshi, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)**

1. Present Civil Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to treat the petitioners at par with other instructors of P.T.C.
2. Learned State counsel has submitted that the present matter pertains to year 1998 and the present petition, in all probability, has been rendered infructuous.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that inspite of his best efforts, office of the counsel for the petitioners has not been able to get in touch with the petitioners and hence, he prays that the present petition may be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous

at this stage but liberty may be granted to the petitioners to revive the petition, in case any cause of action survives.

4. In view of the above facts and circumstances, present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous at this stage with liberty to the petitioners to move an application for revival of the present case, in case, any cause of action survives in the same.

21.03.2024

Mehak

(VIKAS BAHL)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/~~No~~

Whether reportable?

~~Yes~~/No