

226

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-5241-2023
Date of decision: 27.09.2024

DHOOM SINGH

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LIMITED AND ORS.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Ms. Vaishali Kamboj, Advocate
for the petitioner.


Mr. Nayandeep Rana, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned counsel for respondents No.1 to 3 has filed a short affidavit of Executive Engineer, OP Sub Urban Divisions, UHBVN, Jagadhri, District Yamuna Nagar in the Court today and the same is taken on record. He submitted that in the aforesaid short affidavit, Annexure R-1 dated 13.06.2024 has been attached, whereby the recovery notice dated 28.11.2022 has been withdrawn by the respondent-Nigam and therefore, the present writ petition has become infructuous.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that although the aforesaid recovery notice dated 28.11.2022 has been withdrawn by the respondent-Nigam but whatever amount has been recovered from the petitioner



be refunded back to him in consequence of the aforesaid order passed by the respondent-Nigam on 13.06.2024 vide Annexure R-1, alongwith interest.

3. In view of the aforesaid statement made by the learned counsel for respondents No.1 to 3 and the short affidavit filed in the Court today, the present writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

4. In case any amount has already been recovered from the petitioner, then the same shall be refunded back to him, alongwith interest @ 6% per annum (simple).

27.09.2024
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No