

2024:PHHC:041132

**137 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-1817-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 21.03.2024

Prem Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Ekamjeet Singh @ Lally and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Sushil Saini, Advocate for the petitioner

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

1. In this revision petition, the petitioner is one of the claimants in a claim petition, filed under Section 163-A of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, pending before the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal. The Tribunal has refused to grant further opportunity to the claimant to lead evidence. Learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that the petitioner requires only one opportunity to produce his entire evidence and previously also due to lack of communication from the counsel he could not produce his evidence.

2. This Court has considered the submissions made by the learned counsel representing the petitioner.

3. The petitioner before this Court is a claimant. The Motor Accidents Claims Tribunals have been constituted to ensure that the claimants get adequate compensation for the injuries suffered by them or the loss on account of death of their predecessor. In such circumstances, the Tribunal is required to show a little bit of indulgence to the claimants.

4. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the impugned order is modified subject to the condition that the petitioner shall be granted one opportunity to lead his entire evidence.
5. Disposed of.
6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

21.03.2024
rekha
Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE