

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

( Sr. No. 110 )

CWP No.6245 of 2024

Date of decision: 15.03.2024

**Dinesh Kumar**

.....Petitioner

*Versus*

**State of Haryana and others**

.....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present : Mr. Nikhil K. Vashisht, Advocate and  
Mr. Pardeep, Advocate for the petitioner.

\* \* \*

**DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)**

(1) Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 – Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Panchkula to take a decision on the representation dated 11.12.2023 (Annexure P-2) made by the petitioner.

(2) Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 – Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Panchkula to take a decision on the aforesaid representation made by the petitioner, by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

(3) Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the same is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, he would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)  
JUDGE

15.03.2024  
*sunil yadav*

( VIKRAM AGGARWAL)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No  
Whether reportable : Yes / No