

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(119)

CWP-6372-2024

Date of decision: - 18.03.2024

Maninderjeet Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Piyush Aggarwal, Advocate
for Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. TPS Walia, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the competent authority of respondent No.1 to issue and release the grant/sanction letter in response to the order passed in the proceedings dated 03.01.2022 (Annexure P-2) for grant of stage carriage permit on the route Gidderbaha to Muktsar via Husner, Gurusar, Sotha, Rupana route, issued after completion of required legal formalities under the provisions of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievance raised by the petitioner, the petitioner has given a legal notice dated 02.01.2024 (Annexure P-4) and at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case competent authority of respondent No.1-State

considers the same, in accordance with law, within a specified time frame and in case, the plea raised by the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then, grant the appropriate relief to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents has submitted that competent authority of respondent No.1-State would consider the said legal notice dated 02.01.2024 (Annexure P-4), filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law and the same would be done within a period of four weeks from the date of certified copy of this order.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of, with a direction to competent authority of respondent No.1-State to consider the legal notice dated 02.01.2024 (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioner within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order and in case, competent authority of respondent No.1-State is of the view that the plea raised by the petitioner is meritorious, then, the appropriate relief be granted to the petitioner as expeditiously as possible. In case, competent authority of respondent No.1-State is of the view that the plea raised by the petitioner is meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of four weeks.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and competent authority of respondent No.1-State would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

March 18, 2024
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No